

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CITY OF STOCKTON, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:20-cv-00131-KJM-AC PS


ORDER

17 On March 2, 2020, this court rejected plaintiff's complaint on screening but granted leave
18 to amend within 30 days. ECF No. 3. That deadline passed, and plaintiff did not file the
19 anticipated amended complaint; the court issued an Order to Show Cause. ECF No. 4. The court
20 warned that failure to timely file an Amended Complaint could be grounds for dismissal for lack
21 of prosecution. Id. Plaintiff timely responded, stating that he had never received the initial order
22 rejecting the complaint with leave to amend. ECF No. 5.

23 The docket indicates service was completed by mail on March 2, 2020, and there is no
24 indication that the mail was returned as undeliverable. Nonetheless, out of an abundance of
25 caution, the undersigned will direct the clerk of court to re-serve the initial order rejecting
26 plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend and provide plaintiff an additional 30 days to amend.
27 Should plaintiff again fail to timely file an Amended Complaint, allegations that the mail was not
28 received will not be considered good cause for failure to timely file.

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court serve plaintiff
2 with this minute order and the order rejecting plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend at ECF
3 No. 3. Plaintiff shall have 30 days from the date of this order to file an Amended Complaint. If
4 plaintiff fails to timely file an Amended Complaint, the court may recommend dismissal of his
5 case pursuant to Local Civil Rule 110.

6 DATED: April 14, 2020

7 
8 ALLISON CLAIRE
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28